Lp(a) in Practice: Challenges in Testing and Opportunities in Care

Leslie J. Donato, Ph.D., D (ABCC) Co-Director Clinical Specialty Laboratory Co-Director Hospital Clinical Laboratory and Point of Care Associate Professor, Laboratory Medicine and Pathology Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology at Mayo Clinic

Howard S Weintraub, MD, FACC, FAHA, FASPC Clinical Professor of Medicine NYU Grossman School of Medicine Clinical Director, NYU Center for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease



American Heart Association



The recommendations and opinions presented by our guest speakers may not represent the official position of the American Heart Association. The materials are for educational purposes only, and do not constitute an endorsement or instruction by AHA/ASA. The AHA/ASA does not endorse any product or device.



High Lp(a) levels are a genetically inherited and are a common independent risk factor for heart disease, affecting approximately 1 in 5 people worldwide.

The American Heart Association (AHA) launched a 3-year national initiative, the **Lp(a) Discovery Project**, to increase Lp(a) testing by improving processes across care settings through national education.

As an enhancement to this work, the AHA launched the **Lp(a) Federally Qualified Health Center (FQHC) Discovery Project** which seeks to identify various approaches and barriers to Lp(a) testing within FQHCs and develop national education to improve knowledge and increase awareness surrounding Lp(a).





Clinicians' Guide to Frequently Asked Questions About Lipoprotein(a) Testing







Leslie J. Donato, Ph.D., DABCC Associate Professor, Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology Co-Director Clinical Specialty Laboratory Co-Director Hospital Clinical Laboratory and Point of Care Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN



Presenter	Conflicts
Leslie J. Donato	Novartis: Paid speaker Helena Laboratories: Paid speaker Bosch Healthcare Solutions Gm: Consultant



Lipoprotein(a): An apoB Family Member Mediates CV Risk Through 3 Main Pathways

- Apo(a) unique apolipoprotein
- Plasma Lp(a) concentrations correlate highly with apo(a) production
- Variable size dependent on number of repeating KIV₂ domains (3 to >40)
- More atherogenic than LDL on a particle basis



Lp(a) Expression

Detected within first year of life Recent data: increases throughout childhood Expression fairly stable in adulthood



5–10% higher in women 17% higher in post-menopausal women

Ethnic differences: *LPA* gene variants differ according to ancestry and geography

de Boer LM et al. Atherosclerosis. 2022;349:227-232.
 Kronenberg F et al. Eur Heart J. 2022;43(39):3925-3946.
 Nordestgaard BG, Langsted A. Lancet. 2024;404(10459):1255-1264.
 Tsimikas S, Marcovina SM. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2022;80(9):934-946.

Elevated Lp(a) Is Observed in:



~1.5 billion people (globally)

~67 million people (US)





Tsimikas S, Marcovina SM. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2022;80(9):934-946. Nissen SE et al. *Open Heart*. 2022;9(2):e002060. Altmann C et al. *Clin Res Cardiol*. Published online April 15, 2024. doi:10.1007/s00392-024-02427-0

Elevated Lp(a) Is Observed in:



individuals worldwide



Help reclassify a patient's risk of CVD



Allows for intensive management of CVD risk factors



May help to improve ASCVD risk prediction



May motivate patient to adhere to risk mitigation strategies

May inform about familial CV risk

de Boer LM et al. Atherosclerosis. 2022;349:227-232.
 Kronenberg F et al. Eur Heart J. 2022;43(39):3925-3946.
 Nordestgaard BG, Langsted A. Lancet. 2024;404(10459):1255-1264.
 Tsimikas S, Marcovina SM. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2022;80(9):934-946.

Let's test for it!

Laboratory Testing for Lp(a) Concentration



A routine blood draw is sufficient



Fasting is not required



Lp(a) concentration can be reported as nmol/L or mg/dL



Testing may only be required once in an individual's lifetime



Measuring Lp(a) Blood Concentration

Several methodologies RIA ELISA Immunonephelometry* Immunoturbidimetry* Mass Spectrometry *most common commercially



ICD-10-CM code: E78.41 elevated Lp(a) Z83.430 family history of elevated Lp(a)



i

It's easy (sort of)

Challenges for Accurately Measuring Lp(a)

- Molecular weight can range from 300 to 800 kDa
- Polyclonal immunoassays: variable numbers of antigenic epitopes
- Depending on size of Lp(a) calibrator, assays over-estimate or under-estimate Lp(a) concentration



Tsimikas S. A Test in Context: Lipoprotein(a): Diagnosis, Prognosis, Controversies, and Emerging Therapies. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;69(6):692-711.

Problem with Accuracy



Best commercial assays use 5-point calibration and a mixture of Lp(a) sizes in the calibrator

- Use molar assay if possible.
- But mass assay is still acceptable

Testing is BETTER than not testing!!

Kronenberg F. Lipoprotein(a) measurement issues: Are we making a mountain out of a molehill? Atherosclerosis. 2022;349:7-9. doi:10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2022.04.012

Lp(a) Assays are NOT Harmonized



Kronenberg F. Lipoprotein(a) measurement issues: Are we making a mountain out of a molehill? Atherosclerosis. 2022;349:7-9. doi:10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2022.04.012

Are we testing for it?

Guidelines: Lp(a) Testing Recommendations



NLA 2024 Focused Update on Nonstatin Therapies. J Clin Lipidol. 2024;18(3):e77–e111. ACC 2022 Expert Consensus on Nonstatin LDL-C Therapies. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2022;80(14):1366–1418. AACE/ACE 2020 Dyslipidemia Guideline Update. Endocr Pract. 2020;26(1):1–87. AHA/ACC 2019 Cholesterol Management Guideline. Circulation. 2019;139(25):e1082–e1143. SEA 2024 Standards for Global Control of Vascular Risk. *Clin Invest Arterioscler*. 2024. BHS 2022 Lipoprotein(a) Consensus Statement. *Br J Cardiol*. 2022. EAS 2022 Consensus on Lipoprotein(a). *Eur Heart J.* 2022;43:3925–46. 2019 ESC/EAS Dyslipidaemias Guideline. *Eur Heart J.* 2020;41(1):111–188. CCS 2021 Dyslipidemia Guideline. *Can J Cardiol.* 2021;37(8):1129–1150. LAI 2023 Risk Assessment & Lipid Mgmt Update. *J Assoc Physicians India.* 2023. Heart UK 2019 Lp(a) Consensus.

Lp(a) Testing Rates Remain Low

6 University of California medical centers (n = 5,553,654)



Overall testing rate



Patients with personal history of CVD



Patients with family history of CVD

Prevalence of Elevated Lp(a) Varies



Differences in Lp(a) levels between populations are largely determined by the distribution of *LPA* gene variants, which differs according to ancestry and geography

Unfortunate Trend

Patients at higher risk of CV events are least likely to be tested for Lp(a)

Patient Characteristics Associated With a Decreased Likelihood of Undergoing Lp(a) Testing

	OR (95% CI)
Black race	0.83 (0.80-0.85)
Hispanic or Latinx ethnicity	0.77 (0.73-0.80)
Rural neighborhood	0.91 (0.89-0.93)
CDC Social Vulnerability Index 0.75-1.00	0.70 (0.68-0.72)

1. Chen T et al. JAMA Netw Open. 2025;8(1):e2453300. 2. Bhatia HS et al. J Am Heart Assoc. 2023;12(18):e031255. 3. Kelsey MD et al. Am J Prev Cardiol. 2023;14:100478. 4. Shah NP et al. J Am Heart Assoc. 2024;13:e035610.

Lp(a) Testing Rates Remain Low

Why?

- Lack of awareness
- Not included in standard lab orders
- Reimbursement problems
- No current targeted treatment

We need to do better!

How to interpret the results?

Different "Flavors" of Lp(a) Measurements

	Particle Concentration Assays	Apo(a) Mass Assays
Units reported	nmol/L	mg/dL
Characteristic measured	Number of actual particles, regardless of apo(a) size or lipid content	Total mass, which varies depending on the size of the Lp(a) isoform (eg, number of kringle repeats, cargo)
Influence of apo(a) isoform size	Less susceptible to inaccuracies from isoform size	Most susceptible to inaccuracies from isoform size

National and international guidance documents: nmol/L preferred over mg/dL; But: measuring in mg/dL is better than no Lp(a) measurement

1. McConnell JP et al. *J Clin Lipidol*. 2014;8(6):550-553. **2.** Tsimikas S et al. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018;71(2):177-192. **3.** Koschinsky ML et al. *J Clin Lipidol*. 2024;18(3):e308-e319. **4.** Cao J et al. *J Appl Lab Med*. 2024;9(5):1040-1056.

Beware of assay units

C 1m ago ✓ All Rows ✓ Ø Time Mark	2024 1/18/24 07:05	1/18/24 07:01	2023 10/10/23 09:45	2021 2/23/21 10:29	2018 6/28/18 06:53
LIPIDS/CARDIAC RISK 🛛 😞					
Lipoprotein(a), S					158 ^ 🖹
Lipoprotein (a)		365 🔺 🖻			
Fasting (8 HR or more)	Yes				

Lipoprotein (a) 1/18/2024 07:01 (Collected) | Blood, Venous

365 A nmol/L

Ref range: <75 nmol/L

Lipoprotein(a), S 6/28/2018 06:53 (Collected) | Blood, Venous



Ref range: <=30 mg/dL

Lp(a) Measurement Methods Needs Harmonization

Ideal Lp(a) assays should:

Do not convert results obtained in mass units to molar units

Choose assay that utilizes isoform-independent antibodies

Utilize the WHO/IFCC SRM-2B reference material (new reference materials are being developed)

Check the assay has been certified for accuracy

Until the assays are harmonized, it is best to stick to testing using one assay

Lp(a) Test Reporting/Interpretation

What Are Testing Labs To Do? Where To Flag?

CV risk



Even without targeted treatment-







Leon H. Charney Division of Cardiology NYU Center for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease

Howard Weintraub, MD, FAHA, FACC

Clinical Director, NYU Center for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease





Disclosures

Presenter	Conflicts
Howard Weintraub	NovoNordisk: Consultant Amgen: Research Novartis: Research Lily: Research



Determinants of binding of oxidized phospholipids on apolipoprotein (a) and lipoprotein $(a)^{1}$

Gregor Leibundgut,^{*,†} Corey Scipione,[§] Huiyong Yin,^{**} Matthias Schneider,^{††} Michael B. Boffa,[§] Simone Green,^{*} Xiaohong Yang,^{*} Edward Dennis,^{§§} Joseph L. Witztum,^{*} Marlys L. Koschinsky,[§] and Sotirios Tsimikas^{2,*}



Health

Leibundgut et al JACC 2012 and JLR 2013

Prevalence of global elevated Lp(a)



Tsimikas S, Marcovina SM. JACC 2022;80:934

Evidence base for Lp(a) - ASCVD

Meta-analysis



Independent risk factor for CHD and stroke (Ergou et al., JAMA 2009;302:412)

- Meta-analysis of prospective studies
- Curvilinear risk relationship beginning at approximately 30 mg/dL



Causal Risk factor for CHD (Kamstrup et al., JAMA 2009; 301:2331)

- Mendelian randomization • approach
- Genetically-elevated Lp(a) levels increased risk

Identification of variants in LPA associated with CAD (Clarke et al., NEJM 2009; 361:2518)

125

- GWAS identified LPA as • strongest locus associated with CHD
- 2 variants increased risk • almost 2-fold individually and over 4-fold combined



A Test in Context: Lipoprotein(a): Diagnosis, Prognosis, Controversies, and Emerging Therapies | Journal of the American College of Cardiology (jacc.org)

What are the mechanisms through which Lp(a) mediates CVD and ASCVD





Tsimikas JACC 2017;69:692-711

hsCRP Modifies Impact of Lp(a) on CV Health





Zhang, W. et al. JACC. 9/2021

Lp(a) Influence on ASCVD

Health



Nordestgaard, B. et al. EHJ. 2023

Effect of Increasing Lp(a) Levels and Estimated Baseline Absolute Risk for Major CVE's

Incident Rates of MACE-Relative to Risk Scores and Lp(a)

IRs of MACE and non-fatal MI, stratified by Lp(a) and ASCVD 10-year risk score

NYU Langone Health

IRs of MACE and non-fatal MI associated with risk score

- IRs of MAC and non-fatal MI were significantly higher in the high-risk category than in intermediate and low-risk
 IRs of MACE and non-fatal MI associated with Lp(a)
- Within risk categories, Lp(a) levels above 105 nmol/L caused significant increase in IR of MACE and non-fatal MI by up to 82%

Costa-Scharplatz, Madlaina et al. AHA 2023

Risk of aortic valve stenosis as a function of elevated Lp(a) levels

Lp(a): lipoprotein(a); CI, confidence interval; HDL, high density lipoprotein Analyses were adjusted for age and sex, or multivariable adjusted additionally for total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, smoking, and diabetes; Lp(a) in mg/dL is shown as median (interquartile range)

1. Kamstrup PR et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013 Oct 10. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.09.038. [Epub ahead of print]

Increasing CAC and Lp(a) and Incidence of ASCVD

Mehta, A. et al. JACC. 3/2022

Risk of myocardial infarction by levels of lipoprotein(a) in the general population

Lipoprotein(a) screening in patients with controlled traditional risk factors undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention

Matthew C. Weiss, MD, Jeffrey S. Berger, MD, Eugenia Gianos, MD, Edward Fisher, MD, MPH, PhD, Arthur Schwartzbard, MD, James Underberg, MD, Howard Weintraub, MD*

Journal of Clinical Lipidology, Vol 11, No 5, October 2017

Lipid Lowering and Lp(a) Directed Therapies

Drug/class name	Drug target	Development stage	Lp(a) reduction	LDL-C reduction	References		
Approved lipid lowering th	erapies						
Statins	HMGCR	Available	No change	20-50%	[28, 47, 48]		
Ezetimibe	NPC1L1	Available	0–7% (on top of statins)	18–22% (on top of statins)	[29, 30, 49]		
Lipoprotein apheresis	Plasma lipoprotein removal	Available	63%	64%	[32]		
Bempedoic acid	ACLY	Available	No change	17-21%	[34, 50–52]		
PCSK9i monoclonal antibodies	PCSK9	Available	23–27% (on top of statins + ezetimibe)	50–60% (on top of statins + ezetimibe)	[35, 36]		
Inclisiran	PCSK9	Available	22%	50%	[42]		
Lp(a)-directed therapies	Lp(a)-directed therapies						
Pelacarsen	ASO with GalNAc3 conjunction	Phase 3	80%	10-20%	[43, 44••, 53]		
Olpasiran	siRNA	Phase 2	Up to 90%	No change	[45•]		
SLN360	siRNA	Phase 1	Up to 98%	Up to 25%	[46•]		

Nurmohamed, N, et al. Current Atherosclerosis Reports. 2022

Statins modestly increase Lp(a) levels UCSD Lp(a) data from clinical trials

Statin	Study		OxPL-apoB	Lp(a)
Atorvastatin 10mg	Ky et al 2008 Yoshida et al 2012	(n=29) (n=21)	•	-
Atorvastatin 80mg	Tsimikas et al (MIRACL) 2004 (Ky et al 2008 Choi et al (REVERSAL) 2008 ((n=1151) (n=26) (n=108)	••••	
Pravastatin 40mg	Rodenberg et al 2006 Choi et al (REVERSAL) 2008 (Ky et al 2008	(n=90) (n=106) (n=24)	• • •	10 A 10 A 10 A
Pitivastatin 2mg	Yoshida et al 2012	(n=21)	•	•
Rosuvastatin 40mg	Capoulade et al (ASTRONOMER) 2015	(n=134)	•	
Simvastatin/Ezetimibe	Yeang et al 2016	(n=162)	•	
	(n	=1850)	(24%)	(11%)
			-10 0 50	-10 0 50
			Mean % Change	with Statins/Ezetimibe

NYU Langone Health Yeang et al J Clin Lipidology 2016, Tsimikas JACC 2017

Condition/intervention	Effect on Lp(a) levels
Lifestyle	
Replacement of dietary saturated fat with carbohydrate or unsaturated ${\rm fat}^{\rm 32}$	~10%-15% increase
Low carbohydrate diet high in saturated fat ³³	~15% decrease
Fasting ³⁴	None
Physical activity ³⁵	None/minimal
Hormones and related conditions	
Hyperthyroidism ³⁶	Decrease; 20%–25% increase with thyrostatic treatment or radioactive iodine therapy
Hypothyroidism ³⁶	Increase; 5%-20% decrease with replacement therapy
Growth hormones ³⁷	2x increase with therapy
Endogenous sex hormones ³¹	None/minimal
Pregnancy ^{38,39}	2x increase
Menopause ³¹	None/minimal
Postmenopausal hormonal replacement therapy ⁴⁰	~25% decrease
Surgical or biochemical castration in males ⁴⁸	Small increase
Ovariectomy, oestrogen receptor antagonist ⁴⁹	Small increase
Chronic kidney disease ^{41,42}	
Nephrotic syndrome ^{50,63}	3-5 x increase (vs. control)
Peritoneal dialysis patients ⁵¹	2 x increase (vs. control)
Haemodialysis treatment and chronic kidney disease ^{51,52,64}	Increases in large apo(a) isoform carriers
Kidney transplantation ⁴³	~Normalization of levels
Hepatic impairment ^{44,59}	Decrease, depending on cause
Liver transplantation ⁵³	Changes of apo(a) isoform to that of the donor, with corresponding changes in Lp(a) levels
Inflammation and related conditions ^{55,60}	
Severe, life-threatening acute-phase conditions (sepsis, severe burns) $^{\rm 46}$	Decrease
Several inflammatory conditions ⁴⁵	Increase
Tocilizumab (interleukin-6 inhibitor) ^{47,61}	~30%-40% decrease
Protease inhibitors or antiretroviral therapy ^{56,57}	Increase
Statins ^{65–68}	May slightly increase Lp(a) (but reports are heterogeneous
Air pollution (fine particulate, PM2.5) ⁵⁸	Slight increase

Non-Genetic Influences on Lp(a) Concentration

Kronenberg, F. et al. EHJ. 2022

Effect of Therapeutic Interventions on Plasma Levels of Ox-PL- apoB and Lp(a)

NYU Langone Health

Tsimikas, S; Witzum, JL. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2023

Lipid apheresis and Lp(a)

Lipid apheresis and Lp(a)

Apheresis Treatment

Annual Rates for MACE for 2 Years Before (y-2, y-1) and After (y+1, y+2) lipid Apheresis

	(y-2 + y-1)	(y+1 + y+2)	Δ, %	<i>P</i> Value
MACE	0.41±0.45	0.09±0.22	-78.0	<0.0001
ACVE	0.58±0.53	0.14±0.31	-75.9	<0.0001
MI	0.14±0.24	0.02±0.10	-85.7	<0.0001
PCI	0.22±0.35	0.07±0.19	-68.2	<0.0001
CABG	0.05±0.15	0.01±0.05	-80.0	0.001

Time

Leebman et al. Circulation 2013;128:2567-2576

FOURIER: Treatment Effect by Baseline Lp(a)

O'Donoghue et. al. Circulation 2019;139:1483–1492

Biosynthesis and catabolism of Lp(a)

Koschinsky ML, unpublished image; The ABC'S of Lp(a)-Lp(a) Discovery Webinar

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Lipoprotein(a) Reduction in Persons with Cardiovascular Disease

Sotirios Tsimikas, M.D., Ewa Karwatowska-Prokopczuk, M.D., Ph.D., Ioanna Gouni-Berthold, M.D., Jean-Claude Tardif, M.D., Seth J. Baum, M.D., Elizabeth Steinhagen-Thiessen, M.D., Michael D. Shapiro, D.O., Erik S. Stroes, M.D., Patrick M. Moriarty, M.D., Børge G. Nordestgaard, M.D., D.M.Sc., Shuting Xia, M.S., Jonathan Guerriero, M.B.A., Nicholas J. Viney, B.Sc., Louis O'Dea, M.B., B.Ch., B.A.O., and Joseph L. Witztum, M.D., for the AKCEA-APO(a)-L_a. Study Investigators*

We did not observe marked changes in platelet, renal, or liver function, nor a between-group difference in the risk of influenza-like symptoms. The most common adverse events among patients who received APO(a)-L_{Rx} were injectionsite reactions, which were generally mild.

50

	Olpasiran			Pelacarsen	Phase 3
	Phase 2			Phase 2	ongoing!
•	siRNA based therapy	/	• ,	ASO based therapy	
 Safe and efficacious 		• 9	Safe and efficacious		
•	 Reduction in Lp(a) up to - 100.5% at the highest dose at 36 weeks 		• -	Reduction in Lp(a) up to -80% at the highest dose at 27 weeks	0

O'Donoghue ML, et al. NEJM 2022

Tsmikas S, et al. NEJM 2020

Zerlasiran (SLN360)

- siRNA based therapy
- Reduction in Lp(a) up to -96%

Lepodisran

- siRNA based therapy
- Safe and efficacious
- Reduction in Lp(a)
 >-90% at the
 highest doses at 27
 weeks

Muvalaplin (oral agent)

- Oral therapy to disrupts creation of Lp(a)
- Reduction in Lp(a) up to -86%

Nissen S, Wolski K.et al. JAMA 2022

Nissen S, Linnebjerg H.et al. JAMA 2023

Nicholls SJ, Ni W.et al. JAMA 2024

Synopsis of various guidelines

- Lp(a) should be measured in subjects with intermediate or high risk for CVD or CHD
 - Personal history of premature CVD (especially when no other risk factors are present)
 - In persons with moderate to high risk of CVD using various scoring systems
 - Recurrent CVD despite statin therapy
 - Familial hypercholesterolemia or other genetic genetic dyslipidemias
 - Family history of premature CVD
 - Family history of high Lp(a)

But there are changes going on ...

New dyslipidemia guidelines

2019 ESC/EAS Guidelines

2021 Canadian Cardiovascular Society Lp(a) measurement should be considered at least once in each adult person's lifetime

Eur.Heart J. 41:111-188, 2020

We recommend measuring Lp(a) level once in a person's lifetime as a part of the initial lipid screening.

Can.J.Cardiol (in press)

doi: 10.1016/j.cjca.2021.03.016

NYU Langone Health Idea behind: don't wait until the first event occurs

Lp(a) Discovery Project Health Systems

Lp(a) Action Plan for Clinicians

and the management strategy is derived from this combination.

Lp(a) Action Plan for Clinicians

Ma, GS et al. Curr Cardiol Reports; August 2023

THANK YOU

Questions and Discussion

Lp(a) Resources

